- (12) Although $PW_{12}O_{40}^{3-}$ is stable in dilute acidic solutions, similar solutions of yellow PM012O40³⁻ are unstable and are rapidly decolorized upon dilution.
- (13) P. Courtin, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.,* 4799 (1968).
- (14) P. M. Smith, Ph.D. Thesis, Georgetown University, 1971.
- (1 *5)* **M.** Otake, Y. Komiyama, and T. Otaki, *J. Phys. Chem.,* 77,2896 (1973). (16) The voltammograms also confirmed the absence of $XZ_{12}O_{40}$ ^{m-} in the solutions of $X\overline{V}Z_{11}O_{40}n$ -
- (17) C. M. Flynn, Jr., M. T. Pope, and S. O'Donnell, *Inorg. Chem.,* **13,** 831 (1974).
-
- (18) H. So and M. T. Pope, *Inorg. Chem.*, 11, 1441 (1972).
(19) In some cases the "ligand" itself is isolable, *e.g.*, K₇PW₁₁O₃₉(aq): see
P. Souchay, "Ions Mineraux Condenses," Masson et Cie, Paris, 1969, p 93.
-
-
- (20) D. R. Wexell and M. T. Pope, *Chem. Commun.*, 886 (1971).
(21) R. D. Peacock and T. J. R. Weakley, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1937 (1971).
(22) M. T. Pope, *Inorg. Chem.*, **11**, 1973 (1972); J. Iball, J. N. Low, and T.
J. R. We
-
- (23) L. McKean and M. T. Pope, *Inorg. Chem.,* **13,** 747 (1974). (24) Nonreducible to an isostructural poly anion, as is the case with type I anions. It is of course always possible to reduce destructively a mo-
lybdenum(VI) complex.
- (25) M. B. Robin and P. Day, *Advan. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.,* **10,** 248 (1967).
- (26) N. S. Hush, *Progr. Inorg. Chem.,* **8,** 391 (1967).
- (27) P. Souchay and *G.* Bertho, *C. R. Acad. Sei., Ser. C, 262,* 42 (1966). (28) P. Rabette, C. Ropars, and J. P. Grivet, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 265,*
- 153 (1967). (29) See, for example, **B. R.** McGarvey, *Transition Metal Chem.,* **3,** 89 (1966).
- (30) B. R. McGarvey, *J. Phys. Chem.,* **71,** 51 (1967).
- (31) D. Kivelson and R. Neiman, *J. Chem. Phys.,* **35,** 149 (1961).
- (32) Whether or not the greater delocalization is achieved by more extensive in-plane π bonding is a moot point. It can be argued that the reduction in α^2 is artificial and that the esr differences reflect changes in *P* (effectively $\langle r^{-3} \rangle$) and *K* (see ref 2). Whatever the rationalization, the conclusions concerning delocalization of the unpaired electron remain
- (33) M. T. Pope and *G. M. Varga, Jr., Inorg. Chem.*, **5**, 1249 (1966).
(34) J. M. Fruchart and P. Souchay. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C.* **266**.
- (34) J. M. Fruchart and P. Souchay, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 266,* 1571 (1968).
- (35) M. T. Pope, *Polym. Prepr. Amer. Chem. SOC., Div. Polym. Chem.,* **13,** 787 (1972).
- (36) M. T. Pope, E. Papaconstantinou, *G.* M. Varga, Jr., and I). R. Wexell, *Proc. Int. Conf. Coord. Chem.,* **11**, 322 (1968).
(37) Solvation of Keggin anions is very slight owing to the low surface charge
- density. If one of the 12 metal atoms is in a lower oxidation state, solvation (protonation, etc.) is more likely to occur near that atom.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Oberlin College, Oberlin, Ohio 44074

Kinetics of the Alkaline Hydrolysis of Hydroxylamine- 0-sulfonic Acid and Its Reactions with Hydrazine and Hydroxylamine

WAYNE E. STEINMETZ, DAVID H. ROBISON, and MARTIN N. ACKERMANN*

Received July 8, *1974* AIC404463

The kinetics of the hydrolysis of hydroxylamine-0-sulfonic acid, H3NOS03, in alkaline aqueous solution have been studied in the range $[OH^-] = 0.1 - 1.5 M$ at 26.6° and an ionic strength of 1.5. The observed rate law is $-d[H_2NOSO_3^-]/dt =$ $(k_A + k_B[OH^-])[\text{H}_2NOSO_3^-]$ where $k_A = (0.43 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ and $k_B = (1.84 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ *M*⁻¹. The *kA* term is shown to be due to reaction with EDTA4- added to complex trace metal ions. The same rate law is found when the hydrolysis occurs in the presence of a large excess of the diazene scavenger fumarate ion with $k_B = (1.72 \pm 0.07) \times$ 10^{-4} sec⁻¹ M⁻¹. The kinetics of the reaction of H₃NOSO₃ with N₂H₄ and H₂NOH in alkaline solution were also studied and found to obey a second-order rate law, rate = k_{obsd} [H₂NOSO₃-][M]. For M = N₂H₄, $k_{obsd} = 0.010 \pm 0.002$ sec⁻¹ M^{-1} and is hydroxide independent. For $M = H_2NOH$, k_{obs} is dependent on [OH⁻]. The reaction is interpreted as involving the conjugate base of H₂NOH. Taking pK_A = 13.7 for H₂NOH a value of 0.16 \pm 0.06 *M*⁻¹ sec⁻¹ is found for the reaction of H₂NO- with H₂NOSO₃⁻. The above data are incorporated into a mechanism for H₂NOSO₃⁻ hydrolysis and shown to fit satisfactorily the overall rate of H2NOSO3⁻ by computer simulation. It is concluded that nucleophilic attack at the H₂NOSO₃⁻ sp³ nitrogen proceeds in the order H₂NO⁻ > N₂H₄ >> OH⁻. This observation supports earlier work which proposed that polarizability and ease of oxidation of the nucleophile are more important than proton basicity for attack at this nitrogen center.

Introduction

Since it was first reported in 1914 ,¹ hydroxylamine-Osulfonic acid, H_3N+OSO_3 , has proved to be an interesting and useful chemical.2 Among the more intriguing of its reactions is the ability to hydrogenate multiple bonds stereospecifically during decomposition in alkaline solution through the formation of the diazene molecule, $HN=NH²⁻⁵$ The postulated intermediacy of diazene stimulated our interest in the mode of decomposition of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ in alkaline solution and ultimately led to a synthetic route to monosubstituted alkyldiazenes, $RN = NH$, from the reaction of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ with *N*-alkylhydroxylamines, RNHOH.⁶ On the basis of reaction chemistry and the use of isotopically labeled nitrogen compounds Schmitz, *et* al.,7 have proposed that the alkaline decomposition of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ occurs according to eq

$$
H_2NOSO_3^- + OH^- \to H_2NOH + SO_4^{2-}
$$
 (1)

 $H_2NOSO_3^- + H_2NOH + OH^- \rightarrow [HONHNH_2] + SO_4^2 + H_2O$

$$
\rightarrow \text{HN=NH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \qquad (2)
$$

$$
2HN = NH \to N_2H_4 + N_2
$$
\n(3)
\n
$$
H_2NOSO_3^- + N_2H_4 + OH^- \to [H_2NNHNH_2] + SO_4^{2-} + H_2O
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow NH_4 + HN = NH
$$
\n(4)

$$
NH_3 + HN = NH \qquad (4)
$$

1-4. Except for a demonstration by Schmitz that the rate of alkaline hydrolysis of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ is accelerated by addition of hydroxylamine and an earlier general study of the stability of $H_2NOSO₃$ solutions,⁸ the kinetics of the alkaline decomposition have not been studied.

The present kinetic study has shown the Schmitz mechanism, with minor modification, can satisfactorily explain the rate data. Among the reactions we have investigated are the alkaline decomposition of $H_2NOSO_3^-$ in both the presence and absence of fumarate ion (a diazene scavenger) and the reaction of H2NOS03- with hydroxylamine and hydrazine.

Our results are also of interest in relationship to the recent use of H2NOSO3⁻ as a model to study nucleophilic attack at an sp3 nitrogen center.9,lo

Experimental Section

Materials. Hydroxylamine-0-sulfonic acid, H3NOSO3, was prepared by treating hydroxylammonium sulfate with freshly distilled chlorosulfonic acid11 and stored *in vacuo* over phosphorus pentoxide. It assayed at $98 +$ % purity by iodometry,¹² the low assay being due to the presence of some unconverted hydroxylammonium sulfate. Slight hydrolysis (<4%) of the H3NOSO3 to hydroxylamine from exposure to moisture during handling was monitored by periodic reassay. The sodium salt of fumaric acid was prepared by neutralization of the reagent grade acid with sodium hydroxide and purified by recrystallization. Carbonate-free sodium hydroxide solutions were prepared by diluting aliquots of a saturated sodium hydroxide solution with boiled and deaerated water. All other chernicals were reagent grade and used without further purification.

Analyses. Hydrazine was determined spectrophotometrically as the azine formed by reaction with N , N -dimethylaminobenzaldehyde.¹³ Samples for ammonia analysis were first acidified and boiled to hydrolyze any H3NQS03 to hydroxylamine. Hydroxylamine and/or hydrazine present were then oxidized by addition of bromine; excess bromine was next reduced with sulfite. Finally the ammonia was determined by the Kjeldahl method. Use of known mixtures demonstrated that the analyses for H3NOS03, hydrazine, and ammonia were reliable in the presence of one another and/or hydroxylamine at concentrations of the potentially interfering substances greater than those encountered in the experiments of interest, except for apparently high ammonia values in the presence of fumarate. We were unable to determine hydroxylamine satisfactorily in the presence of the other substances at the levels present in our study.

Gaseous products were analyzed by gas chromatography using a 2~-m column packed with Linde **5A** rnolecular sieve and a thermal conductivity detector. Nitrogen and nitrous oxide were determined using hydrogen as carrier gas, while hydrogen was determined with argon carrier gas. Both analyses were done at room temperature. Each determination was preceded by calibration with known gas mixtures.

Stoichiometry Studies. An aqueous solution containing all reagents except H3NOSO3 was placed in a side-arm flask on a vacuum line and degassed by three or four freeze-thaw cycles. This solution, warmed to the reaction temperature, was then added to solid H3NOS03 followed by rapid mixing. The reaction was allowed to sit for \sim 1 day. The total volume of gases generated was measured and then analyzed by gas chromatography. Aliquots of the solution were analyzed for residual H3NOSO3, hydrazine, and ammonia as described above.

Kinetic Procedures. For studies in which the concentration of H₃NOSO₃ was monitored a procedure and reaction vessel previously described were used.14 All reagents except H3NOSO3 were in the solution. This was added to the reaction vessel as a solid under a nitrogen purge at the last minute to prevent possible hydrolysis due to contact with water vapor during the degassing procedure. Ten-ml samples were withdrawn into a calibrated vessel and quenched under nitrogen in 160 ml of 0.55 N sulfuric acid. The H₃NOSO₃ content was determined iodometrically.¹² The liberated iodine was titrated to a starch end point with freshly diluted 0.01 *M* thiosulfate solution. Each run was continued for 2-3 half-lives. In all cases graphical analysis showed good first-order behavior for disappearance of H₃NOSO₃.

Our early kinetic work showcd erratic behavior in what should have been identical runs. Addition of ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA) corrected this behavior which suggests that catalysis by metal ions was the likely cause.⁸ Consequently all reactions described in this paper were carried out at 4.8 X 10-3 *M* **EDTA4-** unless otherwise noted.

The rate of the reaction of H_3NOSO_3 with hydrazine and hydroxylamine is too rapid to be monitored by the aliquot procedure. In these studies the same procedure was used as in the stoichiometry studies with the hydrochloride salt of hydrazine or hydroxylamine being dissolved in the aqueous solution. After addition of the degassed, prewarmed solution to the solid H3NOS03, the increase in the nitrogen pressure was monitored with a mercury manometer. The nitrogen evolved is related to H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ consumed by the stoichiometric eq 6 and 7. Individual runs for both systems gave satisfactory second-order plots corresponding to the rate law

rate = k [H₂NOSO₃⁻][M]

where M is N_2H_4 or NH_2OH . The concentration of $H_2NOSO_3^-$, designated *x*, reacted at any time was calculated from the pressure
of the nitrogen gas in the calibrated volume and the ideal gas equation.
The two integrated second-order rate expressions used for plotting
the data are of the nitrogen gas in the calibrated volume and the ideal gas equation. The two integrated second-order rate expressions used for plotting the data are as follows: for NHzOH

$$
\frac{2.3}{b-a} \log \frac{a(b-x)}{b(a-x)} = kt
$$

for **W2H4**

$$
\frac{2.3}{b-a/2} \log \frac{a(b-x/2)}{b(a-x)} = kt
$$

where a and b are the initial concentrations of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ and $NH₂OH$ or $N₂H₄$, respectively.

Kinetic Simulation. The kinetics of the full mechanism *(vide infra)* were simulated by a numerical solution of the resulting coupled nonlinear differential rate equations by the method of Runge-Kutta to fourth order.¹⁵ Simulation of a 240-min run to a concentration accuracy of 1% or better requires a step size in timc of 0.01 min and takes 6 min on an IBM 360/44 computer.

esuits

Stoichiometry. Alkaline hydrolysis of H₂NOSO₃⁻ produces three major nitrogen-containing products: nitrogen, ammonia, and hydrazine. Taken together the nitrogen content of these three products accounts for the original H_3NOSO_3 within experimental error (Table I). Although we could not satisfactorily analyze for hydroxylamine, the other data indicate that it is apparently present in only small concentration. Analysis of the gaseous products revealed only traces of nitrous oxide and hydrogen. The limiting stoichiometry (eq 5) noted $3H_2NOSO_3^- + 3OH^- \rightarrow N_2 + NH_3 + 3SO_4^{2-} + 3H_2O$ (5)

$$
3H_2NOSO_3^- + 3OH^+ \rightarrow N_2 + NH_3 + 3SO_4^{2-} + 3H_2O
$$
 (5)

in early work¹⁶ on H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ is approached only in the solutions of low hydroxide concentrations for the low H2NOS03- concentrations employed in our work. Both the stoichiometry studies (Table I) and the final hydrazine concentration measured in the kinetic runs (Table 11) show that hydrazine becomes a more significant product as the hydroxide concentration increases.

In the presence of a high concentration of the unsaturated carboxylate anion fumarate, the percentage of nitrogen formed increases while that of ammonia and hydrazine decreases. A marked decrease in final hydrazine concentration is also noted in the kinetic runs (Table 11). These observations are consistent with diversion of most of the diazene formed to use in reduction of fumarate to succinate.^{2b}

Reaction of H_2NOSO_3 with excess hydroxylamine in base has the stoichiometry of eq 6. Thus at $[OH^-] = 0.41 M$,

$$
2H_2NOSO_3^- + 2NH_2OH + 2OH^- \rightarrow N_2 + N_2H_4 + 2SO_4^{2-} +
$$

$$
2H_2O
$$
 (6)

 $[H_2NOH] = 0.20 M$, and $[H_2NOSO_3^-] = 0.027 M$ the 2.02 mmol of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ produced 1.04 mmol of nitrogen and 0.90 mmol of hydrazine. In another run 2.00 mmol of $H_2NOSO_3^$ gave 0.97 and 0.90 mmol of nitrogen and hydrazine, respectively, The slightly low hydrazine yield may arise from

 a Initial concentration $0.05 - 0.08 M$. b Number in parentheses is the percentage of the nitrogen originally introduced as $H₂NOSO₃$ which appears in the product indicated. Estimated uncertainty in millimoles *i* 5%.

Table 11. Rate Data for the Hydrolysis of Hydroxylamine-0-sulfonate Ion in Aqueous Sodium Hydroxide Hydrolysis of Hydroxylamine-O-sulf
Table II. Rate Data for the Hydrolysis of
Hydroxylamine-O-sulfonate Ion in Aqueou
Solution at 26.6° a
10⁻³k_{obsd},

	$[OH^-]$, M		$10^{-3}k_{\rm obsd},$ sec^{-1}	10^{3} [N ₂ H ₄] _{final} , M	
	0.115		0.059,	0.23	
	0.262		0.087,	0.23	
	0.472		0.136 ^b	0.33	
	0.484		0.136c	1.04	
	0.502		0.141	0.71	
	0.833		0.197	0.80	
	1.20		0.257	1.06	
	1.47		0.317	1.77	
		Runs with Added Fumarate Ion			
$[OH^-]$, M		[Function, M]	$\frac{10^{-3}k_{\text{obs}}}{\text{sec}^{-1}}$	10^{3} [N ₂ - H_4 $_{\text{final}}$, M	
0.131		0.167	0.0447	0.13	
0.312		0.200	0.0747	0.08	
0.487		0.317	0.107	0.06	
0.498		0.167	0.105	0.07	
0.954		0.167	0.184	0.06	
ሰ ሰዐፉ		<u>ስ 1ስስ</u>	ሰ 102	ሰ 27	

0.986 0.100 0.193 0.37
^a Ionic strength 1.5 \hat{M} adjusted with sodium chloride. Initial concentration of H₂NOSO₃⁻ is typically about 0.012 M. All runs 4.8 \times 10⁻³ M in ethylenediaminetetraacetate ion. *b* 0.10 M SO₄²present initially. *c* Initial H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ concentration 0.036 *M*.

Figure 1. Plot of the observed pseudo-first-order rate constant for the hydrolysis of $H_2NOSO_3^-$ as a function of [OH⁻] at 26.6°, $\mu =$ 1.5 *M*, and $[EDTA^{4-}] = 4.8 \times 10^{-3} M$: circles, without fumarate ion; squares, with fumarate ion present.

some of it reacting with $H_2NOSO_3^-$ in the later stages of the reaction.

Reaction of H_2NOSO_3 with excess hydrazine in base showed that for $[OH^-] = 0.50 M$, $[N_2H_4] = 0.50 M$, and $[H_2NOSO_3^-] = 0.082 M$ the 4.09 mmol of H_2NOSO_3 yielded 1.99 mmol of nitrogen and 3.30 mmol of ammonia. Although the measured ammonia is somewhat low, the stoichiometry may be reasonably written as in eq 7.

 $2H_2NOSO_3^- + N_2H_4 + 2OH^- \rightarrow N_2 + 2NH_3 + 2SO_4^2 + 2H_2O$ (7)

Kinetics. The rate of hydrolysis of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ in aqueous hydroxide solution follows good pseudo-first-order kinetics. The observed rate constants over a range of hydroxide ion concentration are summarized in Table I1 and plotted in Figure 1. The pattern is of the form

 H_2NOSO_3 with the EDTA⁴⁻ added to inhibit erratic behavior

 $k_{\text{obsd}} = k_{\text{A}} + k_{\text{B}} [\text{OH}^-]$

where $k_A = (0.43 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$ sec⁻¹ and $k_B = (1.84 \pm 0.10)$ \times 10⁻⁴ sec⁻¹ *M*⁻¹.¹⁷ The *k*A term arises from reaction of *Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 14, No. 2, 1975* **423**

Table **111.** Rate Data for Reaction of Hydroxylamine-0-sulfonate Ion with Hydrazine at $26.6^{\circ a}$

$[OH^-]$, M	[H,NO- SO, 1, M	$[N_2H_4], M$	$k_{\rm obsd}, M^{-1}$ sec ⁻¹
0.494	0.080	0.100	0.0108
0.498	0.080	0.200	0.0093
0.506	0.082	0.301	0.0107
0.245	0.080	0.200	0.0095
pH 10.7 ^b	0.079	0.200	0.0119

 a All runs include added ethylenediaminetetraacetate ion of 5.0 \times 10^{-3} *M* concentration and were done at ionic strength 1.5 *M*. ^{*b*} Na₂-HP0,-Na,PO, buffer.

due to trace metal ions (see Experimental Section), while the *k~* term reflects the overall rate of disappearance of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ represented in eq 1, 2, and 4.

That the k_A term is due to reaction of H_2NOSO_3 with EDTA⁴⁻ is supported by a series of kinetic runs at 26.6° and 1.5 *M* ionic strength at several EDTA4- concentrations with $[OH^-] = 0.076$ *M*. Runs at 4.8, 9.8, and 14.4 \times 10⁻³ *M* EDTA⁴⁻ gave k_{obsd} values of 0.52, 0.86, and 1.21 \times 10⁻⁴ M ⁻¹ sec⁻¹, respectively. A plot of k_{obsd} *vs.* [EDTA⁴⁻] is linear with a slope of $0.0071 M^{-1}$ sec⁻¹. The comparable value from Figure 1 is $k_A / [\text{EDTA}^{4-}]$ which gives 0.0087 \pm 0.0017 M^{-1} sec⁻¹. The values agree satisfactorily within experimental error with the value from Figure 1 probably the less reliable of the two.

Attempts to study the kinetics of the $EDTA^{4-}H_2NOSO_3^$ reaction in phosphate buffer at pH \sim 10.5 where the hydroxide contribution should be negligible were only marginally successful. Initial rates gave rate constants in agreement with those cited above but reaction times were long and first-order plots showed substantial curvature. That H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ reacts with EDTA⁴⁻ is not surprising since it reacts with other amines. It seems likely that the reaction is similar to the reaction of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ with glycine to give hydrazine and glycolic acid.¹⁸ Preliminary attempts to identify the products were not fruitful, and we have not pursued this reaction further.

The rate of hydrolysis of $H_2NOSO_3^-$ in the presence of added fumarate ion showed a pattern similar to that observed in its absence. The results are displayed in Table I1 and Figure 1. The hydroxide-dependent rate constant is (1.72 ± 0.07) \times 10⁻⁴ M^{-1} sec^{-1 17} and is only slightly lower than that observed in the absence of fumarate ion. The intercept yields a rate constant of $(0.21 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4}$ *M*⁻¹ sec⁻¹ for the EDTA4--related term. The reason for this lower value compared with that in the absence of fumarate ion is not known.

In the presence of high concentrations of hydrazine the only important reaction for the disappearance of $H_2NOSO_3^-$ is eq 7. This rapid reaction follows the simple second-order rate law, rate = k_{obsd} [H₂NOSO₃⁻][N₂H₄]; the observed rate constants are collected in Table 111. The data establish that the reaction rate is independent of hydroxide ion concentration. An average value for the rate constant is 0.010 ± 0.002 *M*⁻¹ $sec^{-1}.17$

In the presence of high initial concentrations of hydroxylamine the important reaction for disappearance of $H_2NOSO_3^$ is eq 6. At a given hydroxide ion concentration this reaction also follows a second-order rate law, rate = k_{obsd} . [H2NOS03-] [H2NOH], and is even more rapid than the hydrazine reaction. Kinetic data are collected in Table IV. Because of the rapid rate of the reaction and the necessity of monitoring the rate by gas evolution, the uncertainty in k_{obsd} values is large. The value of k_{obsd} increases markedly with increasing hydroxide ion concentration. We interpret this to mean that the conjugate base of either H_2NOSO_3 or H_2NOH is important in the reaction. The absence of a hydroxide effect in the reaction of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ with N₂H₄ points to the conjugate base of H_2NOH as the active species. This is consistent

Table IV. Rate Data for Reaction of Hydroxylamine-O-sulfonate Ion with Hydroxylamine at 26.6°

$[OH-],$ М	$[H, NO-$ SO_{3}^{-}], M	[NH,OH], М	b k_{obsd} $^{-1}$ sec ⁻¹ M	$k.^b M^{-1}$ sec^{-1}
0.116 0.126	0.078 0.081	0.100 0.200	0.023 0.021	0.13 0.11
0.153	0.080	0.202	0.032	0.14
0.286 0.456	0.081 0.080	0.200 0.200	0.064 0.083	0.18 0.18
0.518	0.080	0.100	0.090	0.18 0.14
0.524 0.731 0.789	0.079 0.079 0.080	0.100 0.100 0.101	0.070 0.114 0.12 ₄	0.20 0.21

^{*a*} All runs include added ethylenediaminetetraacetate ion at 5.0 \times ⁻³ *M* concentration and were done at ionic strength 1.5 *M*. ^{*b*} Uncertainty ±20%.

with the known pK_a of 13.7 for hydroxylamine as determined by Hughes, *et a1.,19* from which a value of 1.9 *M-1* for the equilibrium constant for reaction 8 is calculated. In our system

$$
NH2OH + OH- = NH2O- + H2O
$$
 (8)

this rapid equilibrium is followed by the rate-determining step

$$
H_2NOSO_3^- + NH_2O^- \to HN=NH + SO_4^{2-} + 2H_2O
$$
 (9)

The rate law is therefore

$$
\frac{-d\left[H_2NOSO_3^{-}\right]}{dt} = k\left[H_2NOSO_3^{-}\right]\left[H_2NO^{-}\right]
$$

The amount of $NH₂OH$ in the $NH₂O⁻$ form is significant at the [OH-] in our studies and is given by

$$
[\text{NH}_2\text{O}^-] = \left[\frac{K[\text{OH}^-]}{1 + K[\text{OH}^-]}\right] [\text{NH}_2\text{OH}]_T
$$

where $[NH₂OH]T$ is the total hydroxylamine in solution in all forms and $K = 1.9$ M^{-1} . Since our kinetic analysis was done using $[NH_2OH]T$, the second-order rate law is

$$
\frac{-d\left[H_2NOSO_3^{-}\right]}{dt} = \left[\frac{kK\left[OH^{-}\right]}{1+K\left[OH^{-}\right]}\right][NH_2OH]_T\left[H_2NOSO_3^{-}\right]
$$

and

$$
k_{\text{obsd}} = \frac{kK[\text{OH}^-]}{1 + K[\text{OH}^-]}
$$
 (10)

The value of k_{obsd} should level off at high [OH-], and some evidence of this is seen in Figure *2.* Although it should be possible to extract values for both *k* and K from our data, the large uncertainties in k_{obsd} values do not permit deriving satisfactory values for both. We have therefore taken $K =$ 1.9 M^{-1} and calculated k at each [OH⁻] from k_{obsd} (Table IV). The average value is 0.16 ± 0.06 *M*⁻¹ sec⁻¹;¹⁷ the curve in Figure *2* is fit for this value. This curve suggests that the difficulty encountered in following the very rapid reaction at high hydroxide concentrations led to unreliably high values for k_{obsd} for the runs near [OH⁻] = 0.8 *M*.

Although H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ may also react with the ammonia formed to produce hydrazine, we found this reaction was too slow to be of importance at the ammonia concentrations present in our studies.

Discussion

The mechanism for H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ decomposition in alkaline solution originally proposed by Schmitz⁷ consists of four steps, *eq* 1-4. Although no one has yet successfully observed diazene in this system, its presence as an intermediate is amply supported by the hydrogenating ability of an alkaline H2NQS03- solution.2-5 The formation of diazene by **eq** 2 is supported by the superior hydrogenating ability of H_2NO - $SO₃-H₂NOH$ mixtures⁷ and, more directly, by our synthesis

Figure **2.** Plot of *the* observed second-order rate constant for thc reaction of H, NOH with H, NOSO, $\tilde{ }$ as a function of [OH⁻] at 26.6° and $\mu = 1.5$ *M*. The curve is drawn for eq 10 with $k = 0.16$ sec⁻¹ M^{-1} and $K = 1.9 M^{-1}$.

and isolation of substituted diazenes, $RN = NH$, using RNHOH.⁶ Initial formation of H_2NOH by eq 1 is harder to demonstrate given our inability to measure it in this complex chemical system. As an alternative approach we attempted to see if the N-methyl derivative, $CH₃NHOSO₃⁻¹¹$ would provide $CH₃NHOH$ by using nmr to track the methyl group. Unfortunately $CH₃NHOSO₃⁻$ in strong hydroxide solution reacts preferentially at the methyl group apparently because of the strongly electron-withdrawing character of the group attached to carbon.²⁰ Nonetheless nucleophilic attack at the nitrogen atom in H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ is now well established,^{9,10} and it is reasonable that OH- should behave similarly. Chloramine, H₂NCl, which is closely related to H₂NOSO₃⁻ and which also produces diazene in alkaline solution,⁷ has been shown to undergo nucleophilic attack by OH^- . We therefore take eq. $1-4$ as a reasonable reaction sequence in H_2NOSO_3 -hydrolysis.

In exploring the viability of the proposed mechanism we have measured the overall rate of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ decomposition in both the absence and presence of a diazene scavanger. In addition we have mcasured independently the rates of *eq* 6 and 7. In the presence of excess $HONH_2$ the rate for eq 6 is determined by the rates of steps 2 and 3, while the rate for eq. *7* is dctermined by the rates of steps 3 and 4. No direct. measure of the rate of step 3 is available from either our work or the literature. Since all reported attempts to detect diazene in solution have been unsuccessful, we assume that step 3 is much faster than either step 2 or 4. Thus the rates of eq 6 and 7 are a measure of the rates of step 2 or 4 . On the basis of the H₂NOH-H₂NOSO₃⁻ kinetics, step 2 is better represented by a two-step process, eq 8 and 9.^{22,23} Our kinetic data also provide direct measurements for the specific rate constants of steps 4 and 9 as well as a rate constant for the overall rate of decomposition of $H_2NOSO_3^-$ alone in alkaline solution. These data show that steps 4 and 8-9 are much faster than the overall rate of H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ disappearance. We conclude, therefore, that the slow step in the reaction sequence is step 1, the initial reaction of H_2NOSO_3 with OH-.

If $[H_2NOH]$, $[N_2H_2]$, and $[N_2H_4]$ all reach steady-state values in the decomposition of $H_2NOSO_3^-$, the observed rate constant would be 3 times the rate constant for step $1, k_1$. From the kinetic data wirhout fumarate present this would mean $k_1 = 0.61 \times 10^{-4} M^{-1}$ sec⁻¹. However, this estimate of k_1 must be too low since periodic assay for N_2H_4 during the kinetic runs shows that $[N_2H_4]$ does not attain steady state but increases throughout the run. In the runs with added fumarate steps 3 and 4 will not be important as most of the diazene is used to reduce the fumarate. That the rate constant does not drop by one-third (from 1.84 to 1.21 \times 10⁻⁴ M^{-1} sec⁻¹) in the presence of fumarate is consistent with the failure of N_2H_4 to reach steady state.

A better estimate of k_1 may be obtained from the measurements made in the presence of fumarate. If $[H_2NOH]$ attains a steady state, $2k_1 = 1.72 \times 10^{-4}$ M⁻¹ sec⁻¹ and $k_1 =$ 0.85×10^{-4} *M*⁻¹ sec⁻¹. This value for k_1 is at least 250 times smaller than the rate constant, *eq* 10, for the H2NOH reaction even at the lowest [OH-] studied. This lends support to the steady-state assumption for II2NOH in the absence of our ability to follow it directly in the kinetic runs.

The ability of the proposed mechanism and the measured and estimated rate constants to reproduce kinetic data for the decomposition of H_2NOSO3^- at various [OH⁻] values was tested by computer simulation. The steps included were (1) through (4) plus a term for the reaction of EDTA⁴⁻ with H2NOS03-. The rate constants for the EDTA term and for step 4 were the experimental values of 0.0071 M^{-1} sec⁻¹ and 0.010 M^{-1} sec⁻¹, respectively, while k_1 was taken as 0.85 \times 10^{-4} M^{-1} sec⁻¹ as estimated above. For simplicity step 2 was used to replace steps 8 and 9; the rate constant for step 2 was given by eq 10 at the [OH-] appropriate in the run. Since step 3 is assumed to be very rapid, it was assigned arbitrarily a moderately large rate constant of $10^4 M^{-1}$ sec⁻¹.

Runs were simulated at three different [OH-] values with initial concentrations of H2NOSO3- at 0.0120 *M* and of EDTA⁴⁻ at 4.8 \times 10⁻³ *M*. In each case disappearance of HzNOSO3- showed pseudo-first-order kinetics and the calculated first-order rate constant was in good agreement with the experimental values as taken from Figure 1. Results are as follows for [OH-], 10^4k_{computed} (sec⁻¹), 10^4k_{exptl} (sec⁻¹): 0.115 *M,* 0.68, 0.63; 0.833 *M,* 1.99, 1.95; 1.47 *M,* 3.12, 3.04. Changing the hydroxylamine rate constant (step **2)** by a factor of 2, changing the rate constant for step 3 by an order of magnitude, or assuming the presence of 5% H2NOH initially present in the H3NOS03 used had no effect on these results. However, changing the initial estimate of k_1 had a comparable percentage effect on the computer-generated rate data. From this we conclude that 0.85×10^{-4} M⁻¹ sec⁻¹ is a good estimate for the rate constant of step 1 and that the proposed mechanism is consistent with the overall rate of decomposition of $H₂NOSO₃$.

Krueger, *et al.*, have recently been using H₂NOSO₃⁻ as a model to study nucleophilic attack at an sp³ nitrogen center.^{9,10} In the present study three species, OH^- , N_2H_4 , and H_2NO^- , would appear to react with H_2NOSO_3 ⁻ in this manner even though the immediate products of such a reaction cannot be isolated. The case of OH- was discussed above. That both N_2H_4 and H_2NO^- act as nucleophiles is supported by the form of the rate law and by the intermediate formation of diazene through N-N bond formation. In the case of N_2H_4 Schmitz⁷ has used ¹⁵N labeling to show that triazane is an intermediate in the reaction with $H_2NOSO_3^-$.

From our rate data the order of relative nucleophilicities of OH⁻, N₂H₄, and H₂NO⁻ toward the sp³ nitrogen of $H_2NOSO_3^-$ is $H_2NO^- > N_2H_4 >> OH^-$. This order is consistent with Krueger's conclusion that polarizability and

ease of oxidation of the nucleophile play a considerably more important role in determining reactivity toward the $H_2NOSO_3^$ nitrogen center than does proton basicity. Both hydroxylamine and hydrazine are readily oxidized compared with hydroxide ion. The proton basicities of H_2NO^- and OH^- are comparable but H₂NO⁻ should be substantially more polarizable. In terms of the present chemistry the relationship between OH- and H2NO- is similar to that observed in studies comparing the peroxide anion HOO- and OH-. The anions HOO- and H₂NO⁻ are isoelectronic species.²⁴

After the work presented above had been completed and accepted for publication, a paper appeared25 which overlaps in part with our own studies. The two studies differ somewhat in the reaction conditions used in the kinetic work but the results and the conclusions are in agreement.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research. W. E. S. and D. H. R. were National Science Foundation undergraduate research participants.

Registry No. H2NOSOr, 41380-12-5; NzH4, 302-01-2; NHzOH, 7803-49-8.

References and Notes

- E. Sommerand H. G. Templin, *Ber. Deut. Chem. Ges.,* **47,** 1221 (1914).
- (2) (a) R. A. Abramovitch and B. **A.** Davis, *Chem. Rev.,* **64,** 149 (1964); (b) R. Appel and W. Buchner, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.,* **654, 1** (1962).
- C. Miller, *J. Chem. Educ.*, **42**, 254 (1965).
S. Hunig, H. R. Muller, and W. Thier, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, **4,** 271 (1965).
- E. Schmitz and R. Ohme, *Angew. Chem.,* **73,** 807 (1961).
- M. N. Ackermann, M. R. Hallmark, S. K. Hammond, and **A.** N. Roe, (6) *Inorg. Chem.,* **11,** 3076 (1972).
- E. Schmitz, R. Ohme, and *G.* Kozakiewicz, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.,* **339,** 44 (1965).
- H. J. Matsuguma and L. F. Audrieth, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.,* **12,** 186 **(1** 959).
- J. H. Krueger, P. F. Blanchet, **A.** P. Lee, and **B.** A. Sudbury, *Inorg.* (9) *Chem.,* **12,** 2714 (1973).
- **P.** F. Blanchet and J. H. Krueger, *Inorg. Chem.,* **13,** 719 (1974).
- P. A. *S.* Smith, H. R. Alul, and R. L. Baumgarten, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.,* **86,** 1139 (1964).
- H. J. Matsuguma and L. F. Audrieth, *Inorg. Syn.,* **5,** 122 (1957).
- G. Yagi1 and M. Anbar, *J. Amer. Chem.* Soc., **84,** 1797 (1962).
- M. N. Ackermann and R. E. Powell, *Inorg. Chem.,* **5,** 1334 (1966). A. Ralston and H. S. Wilf, "Mathematical Methods for Digital Computers," Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1960.
-
- F. Sommer, 0. F. Schulz, and M. Nassau, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.,* **147,** 146 (1925).
- Uncertainties are quoted at the 95% confidence level calculated by a standard linear least-squares regression analysis.
- R. Gosl and A. Meuwsen, *Chem. Ber.,* **92,** 2521 (1959).
- M. N. Hughes, H. *G.* Nicklin, and K. Shrimanker, *J. Chem.* Soc. *A,* 3485 (1971).
- K. Parkin and M. N. Ackermann, unpublished results. J. W. leNoble, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 727 (1966).
-
- (22) The rate of the H₂NOH-H₂NOSO₃⁻ reaction in the absence of high hydroxide ion concentrations has been measured and is too Slow to contribute meaningfully to the rate under our conditions.23 W. Durckheimer, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.,* **721,** 240 (1969). The
- rate constants quoted in this work are undoubtedly too large since no account was taken of the reaction between H2NOSO3⁻ and N2H4, a product in the reaction, which must be much faster in the pH range employed based on our work
- J. 0. Edwards, "Inorganic Reaction Mechanisms," W. **A.** Benjamin, New York, N. *Y.,* 1964.
- J. H. Krueger, B. **A.** Sudbury, and P. F. Blanchet, *J. Amer. Chem. Sac.,* **96,** 5733 (1974).